

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,771	07/14/2003	Martin Kralik	740123-469	4028	
22204 7590 01/12/2007 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			EXAMINER		
			LEE, EDMUND H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		
SHOPTENED STATISTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE					
3 MONTHS		01/12/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/617,771	KRALIK ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		EDMUND H. LEE	1732					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN i.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	November 2006.						
2a)[]	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are withdraw							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.	•						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠				
		an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2 Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No. <u>09901452</u> .					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attach	Ma)							
Attachmen 1) Notice	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
3) A Information Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/9/06.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 11/9/06 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (USPN 3873654). Smith teach the claimed process as evidenced at col 2, Ins 17-42; col 3, Ins 61-65; col 4, In 15-col 5, In 8; and figs 1-3.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (USPN 3873654). The above teachings of Smith are incorporated

Art Unit: 1732

hereinafter. In regard to claim 2, the use of a preshaped, deep drawn component to form a molded composite is well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a pre-shaped, deep drawn component in the process of Smith in order to reduce cycle time. In regard to claim 3, Smith teaches using a second glass fiber mat (col 4, Ins 15-35). The placement of the second mat on the spacer before inserting the spacer into the molding tool is a mere obvious matter of choice. Further, the placement of a film on a preform before insertion of the preform into a mold is well-known in the molding for reducing cycle time. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the second mat of Smith on the spacer part of Smith before inserting the spacer part into the molding tool in order to reduce cycle time. In regard to claim 5, such is well-known in the molding art in order to reduce cycle time and provide accurate cuts. See class 264, subclass 163. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cut the peripheral area of the mat of Smith by a punch located at the claimed location in order to reduce cycle time and provide accurate cuts. In regard to claim 6, the use of a specific apparatus is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the claimed apparatus is well-known in the molding art. See class 264, subclass 163. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed apparatus in the process of Smith in order to reduce cycle time and provide

Application/Control Number: 10/617,771

Art Unit: 1732

accurate cuts. In regard to claims 7 and 8, the use of a specific apparatus is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on equipment availability and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the

Page 4

known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

claimed process. Further, the claimed spray apparatus of claims 7 and 8 are well-

the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed apparatus in the process

of Smith in order to reduce cycle time.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US patents show the state of the art: 5082609, 6406588, 3320108, 4377609, 5401456, 4812186, 6008149, 6618944, and 6832810.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571.272.1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL